

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,585	10/23/2003	Jean-Paul Steib	0529-1017	5972
8791 7590 08/13/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN				
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
SUNNYVALE	, CA 94085-4040	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3733	
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
	·		08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	.Н		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,585	STEIB ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anu Ramana	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6,8 and 10-13 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/23/03 is/are: a) and according a specific and a specific a	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species I (Figures 1-3) in the reply filed on June 12, 2007 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP §818.03(a)).

Accordingly, claims 1-4, 7 and 9 have been examined on the merits in this office action. Claims 5-6, 8 and 10-13 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Specification

The specification is objected to due to the lack of titles.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Application/Control Number: 10/690,585

Art Unit: 3733

(I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims do not clearly recite structural features of Applicants' invention in a readily understandable manner due to the use of reference numerals within parentheses. Reference numerals should not be used as a substitute for clear recitation of structural features. The Examiner suggests that the reference numerals be deleted to avoid confusion.

The following are examples of incomplete recitation of structural features of Applicants' invention.

In claims 2 and 4, the recitation of "a pressure screw" is confusing since it is unclear how the pressure screw recited in claim 4 is different from the pressure screw recited in claim 2.

In claim 7, "bottom of the opening (45)" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 9, it is unclear what structure is being claimed by the limitation "cuttable smooth cylindrical profile."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood in light of the rejections under 35 USC 112 second paragraph made above, claims 1-4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin et al. (US 5,368,594).

Martin et al. disclose a vertebral osteosynthesis device or rachidian anchoring device including: pedicular hooks 5a; a transverse rod 3 having a smooth cylindrical profile and capable of being cut; each hook 5a including an anchoring portion; a clip 12 to secure rod 3 in the open body of each hook 5a; each hook 5a having a prolongation 16 directed perpendicular to the direction of securement of rod 3 with the hook wherein the prolongation has a tapped bore 15 coacting with a pressure screw 14 (Figs. 2-3, col. 3, lines 15-68 and col. 4, lines 1-39).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,585

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR August 5, 2007

ANURADHA RAMANA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5